1	DAVID L. ANDERSON (CABN 149604) United States Attorney		
2 3	HALLIE HOFFMAN (CABN 210020) Chief, Criminal Division		
4	PATRICK R. DELAHUNTY (CABN 257439) SARAH E. GRISWOLD (CABN 240326)		
5	Assistant United States Attorneys		
6	150 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 900 San Jose, California 95113		
7	Telephone: (408) 535-5061 FAX: (408) 535-5081		
8	patrick.delahunty@usdoj.gov sarah.griswold@usdoj.gov		
9			
10			
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
) CAGENO 16 47 FID	
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CASE NO. 16-cr-47 EJD	
15	Plaintiff,) UNITED STATES' PROPOSED VOIR DIRE	
16	v.	,))	
17	JONATHAN CHANG,))	
18	a/k/a RuWu Charng, and GRACE CHANG,))	
19	a/k/a Wei-Lin Chang,))	
20	Defendants.))	
21		ý.	
22	The United States hereby submits the following proposed voir dire questions, with the suggestion		
23	that the Court address these questions to the jury pool, and permit counsel to do brief follow-up		
24	questioning as appropriate. The government respectfully reserves its right to modify and supplement		
25	this list of proposed voir dire questions if necessary based on pretrial developments.		
26			
27			
28			
	UNITED STATES' PROPOSED VOIR DIRE		

CASE No. 16-cr-47 EJD

1

PROPOSED VOIR DIRE QUESTIONS

2

I. **Practical Considerations**

3 4

three weeks?

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

28

27

- 1. Would you have any difficulty serving as a juror on a trial that could last approximately
- 2. Are there circumstances currently in your life that may make it difficult for you to concentrate fairly on the issues in this case?
- 3. Do you have any difficulty understanding the English language? Do you have any difficulty reading the English language?
- Do you read or understand the Mandarin language? Any evidence that you are to consider and on which you must base your decision is only the English-language interpretation provided through an official court interpreter and the English-language translations provided through the certified translators. Would you have any difficulty disregarding the meaning of non-English words that differ from the official interpretation or translation?
- 5. Do you have any hearing or eyesight problems that might make it difficult for you to follow along with the evidence in this case?

II. **Knowledge of Defendants, Counsel, Witnesses, and Case**

- 6. Do you know or have you heard of the Defendants Jonathan Chang a/k/a RuWu Charng or Grace Chang a/k/a Wei-Lin Chang? Do you know or have you heard of any the following entities associated with the Defendants: Home of Christ 4 or Home of Christ in West San Jose, Home of Christ Associates LLC, Home of Christ Associates, Inc., or Home of Christ Christian Center?
- 7. Do you know or have you heard of counsel in this case: Patrick Delahunty and Sarah Griswold for the United States, Julia Jayne and Ashley Riser for Defendant Jonathan Chang, and Chris Cannon and Matt Laws for Defendant Grace Chang?
- 8. Do you know or are you familiar with any of the following individuals who may be witnesses in this case? [The Court is respectfully requested to read (a) the names of witnesses identified in the parties' witness lists and (b) the government's case agent, Mark Matulich.]
- 9. This case relates to Defendants' alleged efforts to obtain money and property by intentionally misleading individuals about the true nature of Home of Christ Associates Incorporated

4

5

III.

6 7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

UNITED STATES' PROPOSED VOIR DIRE CASE No. 16-cr-47 EJD

and Home of Christ Associates LLC, and their laundering of the proceeds of this alleged fraud. Outside of the information you have received in connection with your jury service, does anyone think he or she might have knowledge regarding the facts of this case? For example, has anyone read any news coverage regarding this case?

Attitude Toward Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice System

- 10. If you like, you may approach sidebar to answer the following questions regarding involvement with law enforcement] Have you, any member of your immediate family, or any close friend ever had any contact with any law enforcement officer more significant than a routine traffic stop? If so, do you think the law enforcement officer acted professionally and fairly?
- 11. Do you believe that you or anyone you personally know has ever been falsely arrested or wrongly charged with a crime?
- 12. Have you, any member of your family, or any close friend ever worked in law enforcement or in the criminal justice system (e.g., police officer, prosecutor, probation officer, defense lawyer, defense investigator)? Is there anything about that experience or association that would cause you to lean in favor of either the Government or the Defendant in this case?
- 13. Would you automatically view a government agency witness as more credible or less credible than a witness who was not associated with a government agency, or are you able to assess each witness's credibility based on the evidence at trial?
- 14. Is anyone of the opinion that the criminal justice system is fundamentally unfair in some way?

IV. Application of the Law / Punishment and Sympathy

- 15. Do you understand that as a juror you must accept and apply the law as it is explained to you by the Court, even if you believe the law is or should be different? Do you have any difficulty with this concept that would prevent you from serving as an impartial juror in this case?
- 16. In a criminal case, the government has the burden of proving the defendant is guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. The law does not require the government to prove its case beyond *all* doubt. Would any of you feel that the government should be required to prove its case beyond *all* doubt before you would vote for a verdict of guilty?

1	17.	The jury's role is to decide guilt; the	e jury does <i>not</i> determine the sentence. Do you
2	understand that you should not speculate about the possible punishment if the Defendant is found guilty		
3	Will you be able to perform your duty to follow the law and decide whether the Defendant is guilty		
4	without regard to the possible consequences?		
5	18.	Do any of you have any moral, relig	ious, philosophical, or political principles that make
6	you reluctant to serve on a jury in a criminal case? Would any of those principles make it difficult or		
7	impossible for you to judge a fellow citizen?		
8	a.	Do any of you hold any principles the	nat would cause you to refuse to vote to convict a
9	person who held a leadership position at a church, such as elder or deacon?		
10	b.	Do any of you hold any principles the	nat would cause you to refuse to vote to convict a
11	person who allegedly defrauded his or her church?		
12	c.	Do any of you hold any principles the	nat would cause you to refuse to vote to convict a
13	person regardless of whether you felt the evidence proved the Defendant guilty beyond a reasonable		
14	doubt?		
15	V. Concl	luding Questions	
16	19.	After hearing what you have heard a	about this case, can you think of any reasons we have
17	not yet discussed that might prevent you from serving as a fair and impartial juror in this case?		
18	20.	Is there any matter any juror would	prefer to discuss privately with the Court?
19			
20	DATED:	July 11, 2019	Respectfully submitted,
21			DAVID L. ANDERSON
22			United States Attorney
23			<u>/s/</u> PATRICK R. DELAHUNTY
24			SARAH E. GRISWOLD Assistant United States Attorneys
25			Assistant Office States Attorneys
26			
27			
28			
	UNITED STATES' PROPOSED VOIR DIRE		